

# THE WEEKLY GAZETTE.

COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1898.

NUMBER 7.

## 3-ADVER. V. STATE CLAIMS THAT THE S. INCIDENT OF THE MURDER OF KAY

By Reason of a Verdict After

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment



SHIRLEY D. CHAMBERLIN.

STATE OF COLORADO, }  
COUNTY OF EL PASO, } SS.

IN PEOPLE OF THE STATE  
OF COLORADO

VS.  
SHIRLEY D. CHAMBERLIN.

(Number 2907)

We, the jury, find the defendant, Shirley D. Chamberlin, guilty of

murder in the first degree;

and we further find that the killing was both voluntary and premeditated.

D. A. RUSK, Foreman.

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

Verdict Years Life Imprisonment

ter the Jew was killed Chamberlin showed

out the watch and that he had been

out at night. Everything was admitted,

he said, and the jury was told that

he felt the presence of a murderer.

Chamberlin holds the story within his

lips. Then he called up the prisoner's

past life and declared that not one re-

deeming feature could be pointed out. He

was an ex-convict from the Utah penitenti-

ary and from the Colorado penitenti-

ary and had been in the inside of the Man-

itau jail. The story of his life was out

of crime.

He exhibited the underwear to the

jury and declared it was damning evi-

dence. Attention was called to the pecu-

liar path of the drawers.

"No story of the defense indicates any-

thing but guilt," said Mr. Crowell. "There

is only one theory possible and that is

that Chamberlin murdered Kay."

He pleaded for speedy action on the part

of the jury. "At this aged father return

to Nebraska," said he, "and tell his friends

and the friends of his mother that he

and that mortal man can do to avenge the

dead has been done."

For the Defense.

Attorney Dodge, leading counsel for the

defense, immediately followed Mr. Crow-

ell. The first speaker had talked steadily

for two hours and five minutes and Mr.

Dodge had listened attentively to every

word. He declared in opening that he

had heard Mr. Crowell many times and

that his argument in the Chamberlin case

was his best.

Mr. Dodge was the eloquent speaker

and the jury had just heard. He con-

firmed himself strictly to evidence and

not to discuss the merits of the case. He

was necessary to the sight of the

prisoner and that on his trip up the Peak

he wore them all the time; that some of

the witnesses were mistaken in their

man.

At this point Judge Lunt declared a

recess of one hour and at the expiration

of that time, at 1:30 p. m., court recon-

vened.

At this session Mr. and Mrs. Chamber-

lin, parents of the accused, were both

present. The mother, heavily veiled, sat

at his right and a little to the rear. His

father occupied the same relative position

to all that transpired. The father fre-

quently brushed away a tear. The son took

a few notes as the arguments proceeded

and the father carefully leaned over and

watched every word that was recorded.

The Argument.

Mr. Dodge followed out the same line

of argument as at the morning session.

Each detail of the evidence was gone over

and every possible point which could be

made in favor of Chamberlin was not.

In speaking of the identification of the

two girls, Mr. Dodge called attention to

the fact that although they first testified

that the man seen with Kay was a gray

traveling man, it was possible that he had

been a soft hat. With regard to the book

found on the man, Mr. Dodge said that

Butt and the man from Cleveland, who

was also said by Chamberlin to have been

with him on the trip to the Peak, Mr.

Dodge stated that he was not allowed to

go to the state of the state and messes

and furthermore that to locate a man in

Chicago, merely knowing that he said his

name was Fulton, and to locate a man in

Cleveland whose name was wholly un-

known would have been an impossibility.

He said that the man who had been with

him did not do it and then run. He took his

time about it. He did not change his

clothes. If he did "would he have car-

ried his unclean clothes down to Manit-

ou and had them with him when he took

the trip to the Peak? The attorney ad-

mitted that Chamberlin had been a bad boy and a

great one to his people but said he

thought they had proven beyond a reason-

able doubt that the murderer of Kay was

not the defendant.

Insinuations were made that several

different persons were as much open to

the charge of killing Kay as was Cham-

berlin. Before closing Mr. Dodge expressed

the belief that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury

would find in favor of the state and that

the jury would find in favor of the state

and that the jury would find in favor of

the state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that the jury would find in favor of the

state and that the jury would find in

favor of the state and that the jury would

find in favor of the state and that the

jury would find in favor of the state and

that



























